

May 25, 2018

The Honorable A.Donald McEachin U.S. House of Representatives 314 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McEachin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

July V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Adam Smith U.S. House of Representatives 2264 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Adriano Espaillat U.S. House of Representatives 1630 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Espaillat:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Alan Lowenthal U.S. House of Representatives 125 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lowenthal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Lat V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Albio Sires U.S. House of Representatives 2342 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sires:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable André Carson U.S. House of Representatives 2135 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Carson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Anna G. Eshoo U.S. House of Representatives 241 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Barbara Lee U.S. House of Representatives 2267 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Lee:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Ben Ray Luján U.S. House of Representatives 2231 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Luján:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Bennie Thompson U.S. House of Representatives 2466 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Beto O'Rourke U.S. House of Representatives 1330 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman O'Rourke:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Zit V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Betty McCollum U.S. House of Representatives 2256 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman McCollum:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

La V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Bill Foster
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Foster:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

July V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Bill Pascrell U.S. House of Representatives 2370 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pascrell:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Brad Sherman U.S. House of Representatives 2181 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sherman:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Brenda Lawrence U.S. House of Representatives 1213 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lawrence:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Zit V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Brendan F. Boyle U.S. House of Representatives 1133 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Boyle:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Brian Higgins U.S. House of Representatives 2459 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Higgins:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Carol Shea-Porter U.S. House of Representatives 1530 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Shea-Porter:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Chellie Pingree U.S. House of Representatives 2162 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congresswoman Pingree:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Cheri Bustos U.S. House of Representatives 1009 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Bustos:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Var Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Colleen Hanabusa U.S. House of Representatives 422 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Hanabusa:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Dan Kildee U.S. House of Representatives 227 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kildee:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Daniel Lipinski U.S. House of Representatives 2346 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lipinski:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Danny K. Davis U.S. House of Representatives 2159 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Davis:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable David Cicilline U.S. House of Representatives 2244 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cicilline:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable David E. Price U.S. House of Representatives 2108 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Price:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Debbie Dingell U.S. House of Representatives 116 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Dingell:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Jak V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Debbie Wasserman Schultz U.S. House of Representatives 1114 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Wasserman Schultz:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Lit V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Denny Heck U.S. House of Representatives 425 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Heck:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Derek Kilmer U.S. House of Representatives 1520 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kilmer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Diana DeGette U.S. House of Representatives 2368 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman DeGette:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Aiit V Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Don Beyer U.S. House of Representatives 1119 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Beyer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Donald Norcross U.S. House of Representatives 1531 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Norcross:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Donald M. Payne U.S. House of Representatives 132 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Payne:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Doris Matsui U.S. House of Representatives 2311 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Matsui:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Lat V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Earl Blumenauer U.S. House of Representatives 1111 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Blumenauer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Ed Perlmutter U.S. House of Representatives 1410 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Perlmutter:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Git V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton U.S. House of Representatives 2136 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Norton:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Filemon Vela U.S. House of Representatives 437 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vela:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Frank Pallone U.S. House of Representatives 237 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pallone:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

July V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Gregorio KililiCamacho Sablan U.S. House of Representatives 2411 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sablan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Gwen Moore U.S. House of Representatives 2252 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Moore:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jackie Speier U.S. House of Representatives 2465 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Speier:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jacky Rosen U.S. House of Representatives 413 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congresswoman Rosen:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Jak V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jamie Raskin U.S. House of Representatives 431 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Raskin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jan Schakowsky U.S. House of Representatives 2367 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Schakowsky:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

ř.

Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jared Huffman U.S. House of Representatives 1406 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Huffman:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jared Polis
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Polis:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler U.S. House of Representatives 2109 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nadler:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jerry McNerney U.S. House of Representatives 2265 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McNerney:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jim Cooper U.S. House of Representatives 1536 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cooper:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jim Langevin U.S. House of Representatives 2077 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Langevin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jim McGovern U.S. House of Representatives 438 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McGovern:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jimmy Gomez U.S. House of Representatives 1226 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gomez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jimmy Panetta U.S. House of Representatives 228 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Panetta:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Joaquin Castro U.S. House of Representatives 1221 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Castro:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Joe Courtney U.S. House of Representatives 2348 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Courtney:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John Delaney U.S. House of Representatives 1632 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Delaney:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John Garamendi U.S. House of Representatives 2438 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garamendi:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely

Lat V. Var Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John B. Larson U.S. House of Representatives 1501 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Larson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John Lewis U.S. House of Representatives 343 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lewis:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John Sarbanes U.S. House of Representatives 2444 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sarbanes:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable John Yarmuth U.S. House of Representatives 131 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Yarmuth:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Jose E. Serrano U.S. House of Representatives 2354 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Serrano:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Majit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Joseph Crowley U.S. House of Representatives 1035 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Crowley:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Joseph P. Kennedy U.S. House of Representatives 434 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kennedy:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Josh Gottheimer U.S. House of Representatives 213 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gottheimer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Juan C. Vargas U.S. House of Representatives 1605 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vargas:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand foomotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Judy Chu U.S. House of Representatives 2423 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Chu:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Katherine M. Clark U.S. House of Representatives 1415 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Clark:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Kathy Castor U.S. House of Representatives 2052 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Castor:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Jak V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Lisa Blunt Rochester U.S. House of Representatives 1123 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Rochester:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Linda T. Sánchez U.S. House of Representatives 2329 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Sánchez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Lloyd Doggett U.S. House of Representatives 2307 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Doggett:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Lois Frankel U.S. House of Representatives 1037 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Frankel:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Juk V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Louise M. Slaughter U.S. House of Representatives 2469 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Slaughter:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard U.S. House of Representatives 2083 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Roybal-Allard:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Ju V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Marcy Kaptur U.S. House of Representatives 2186 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Kaptur:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Mark Takano U.S. House of Representatives 1507 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Takano:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Par



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Michael E. Capuano U.S. House of Representatives 1414 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Capuano:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Vari



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Michelle Lujan Grisham U.S. House of Representatives 214 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Lujan Grisham:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Mike Doyle U.S. House of Representatives 239 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Doyle:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Van Ajit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Mike Quigley U.S. House of Representatives 2458 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Quigley:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Mike Simpson U.S. House of Representatives 2084 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Simpson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Jik V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Nanette Barragan U.S. House of Representatives 1320 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Barragan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Nita M. Lowey U.S. House of Representatives 2365 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Lowey:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Nydia M. Velazquez U.S. House of Representatives 2302 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Velazquez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Jit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Paul Tonko U.S. House of Representatives 2463 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congressman Tonko:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Zit V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Peter A. DeFazio U.S. House of Representatives 2134 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DeFazio:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ly it V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Peter J. Visclosky U.S. House of Representatives 2328 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Visclosky:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Peter Welch U.S. House of Representatives 2303 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Welch:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

ZXV. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Pramila Jayapal U.S. House of Representatives 319 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Jayapal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva U.S. House of Representatives 1511 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Grijalva:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Raul Ruiz
U.S. House of Representatives
1319 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ruiz:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Jik V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Richard E. Neal U.S. House of Representatives 341 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Neal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Git V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Rick Larsen U.S. House of Representatives 2113 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Larsen:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



200

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

May 25, 2018

The Honorable Rick Nolan U.S. House of Representatives 2366 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nolan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Robert C. Scott U.S. House of Representatives 1201 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scott:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Rohit Khanna U.S. House of Representatives 513 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Khanna:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Rosa DeLauro U.S. House of Representatives 2413 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman DeLauro:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Vari



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Salud Carbajal U.S. House of Representatives 212 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Carbajal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Git V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Sander M. Levin U.S. House of Representatives 1236 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Levin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Sean Patrick Maloney U.S. House of Representatives 1027 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Maloney:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Seth W. Moulton U.S. House of Representatives 1408 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Moulton:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Sheila Jackson Lee U.S. House of Representatives 2187 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

#### Dear Congresswoman Jackson Lee:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling*, *Report and Order*, *and Order* (*Order*), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Steve Cohen U.S. House of Representatives 2404 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cohen:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Susan A. Davis U.S. House of Representatives 1214 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Davis:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Suzan DelBene U.S. House of Representatives 2442 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman DelBene:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ly X V. Van



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Suzanne Bonamici U.S. House of Representatives 439 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Bonamici:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Ted Lieu U.S. House of Representatives 236 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lieu:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Terri A. Sewell U.S. House of Representatives 2201 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Sewell:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Tim Ryan U.S. House of Representatives 1126 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ryan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the *Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order)*, in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the *Restoring Internet Freedom Order* marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The *Order* amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Zit V. Pai



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Tony Cárdenas U.S. House of Representatives 1510 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cárdenas:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable William Keating U.S. House of Representatives 2351 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Keating:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind in the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



May 25, 2018

The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke U.S. House of Representatives 2058 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Clarke:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom Declaratory Ruling, Report and Order, and Order (Order), in which you requested that the Federal Communications Commission rescind m the December 14, 2017 vote. I respectfully did not take that course of action for the reasons discussed below.

The vote on the Restoring Internet Freedom Order marked the culmination of an unprecedented level of public participation and transparency. After the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in May 2017, it received millions of public comments related to the proposal. The Order amply addressed this rulemaking record over nearly 200 pages containing well over one thousand footnotes. In addition, pursuant to my transparency initiative, the agency released the draft over three weeks before the Commission voted. This gave the public an opportunity to review the draft and submit further feedback before the scheduled vote took place.

The Commission is grateful to all commenters who engaged the legal and public policy questions presented in this rulemaking. These comments ensured that the Commission considered all important aspects of its proposal to reclassify broadband Internet access service as an "information service" and restore the "light-touch" regulatory framework that fostered a free and open Internet in the United States prior to 2015.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Your views are important and will be entered into the record of the proceeding. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,